#### **Full Annual Education Report**

# School-Level Student Assessment Data for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Grosse Pointe South High School

#### **Michigan Educational Assessment Program (MEAP)**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	Proficient
No records to	o display.						

**Michigan Merit Examination (MME)** 

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
English Lang	guage Arts /	Reading							
Grade: 11									
All Students	2008-09	99.2%	59.9%	80.6%	83.8%	9.6%	74.1%	10.2%	6.1%
All Students	2009-10	99.5%	65.2%	82.6%	89.5%	13.8%	75.8%	7.4%	3.1%
Female	2008-09	98.9%	64.2%	84.8%	87.6%	11.8%	75.8%	7.5%	4.8%
Female	2009-10	99.5%	68%	83.4%	91.3%	15%	76.3%	6.3%	2.4%
Male	2008-09	99.5%	55.5%	76.8%	80.3%	7.7%	72.6%	12.5%	7.2%
Male	2009-10	99.5%	62.3%	81.6%	87.6%	12.4%	75.1%	8.6%	3.8%
Black or African American	2008-09	94.7%	33.4%	47.5%	50%	0%	50%	22.2%	27.8%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	37.5%	47.1%	61.1%	2.8%	58.3%	27.8%	11.1%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	67.2%	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	74.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	44.5%	70%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	50.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	99.7%	66.1%	86.5%	87.2%	10.1%	77.1%	8.7%	4.1%
White	2009-10	99.4%	71.5%	88.6%	92.4%	14.7%	77.6%	5.6%	2.1%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	60.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	64.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	23.5%	43.5%	55%	5%	50%	10%	35%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	23.6%	51.8%	62.5%	0%	62.5%	28.1%	9.4%
Economically Disadvantage		100%	42.4%	44.7%	38.9%	0%	38.9%	22.2%	38.9%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	48.5%	47.5%	60%	8%	52%	24%	16%

Mathematics

Grade: 11

All Students	2008-09	99.2%	49.3%	74%	76.9%	31.5%	45.4%	8.1%	15%
All Students	2009-10	99.5%	50.4%	73.9%	82.1%	31.1%	51%	8.9%	8.9%
Female	2008-09	98.9%	47.2%	73.4%	75.8%	24.2%	51.6%	8.1%	16.1%

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Female	2009-10	99.5%	48.3%	72.1%	81.2%	29%	52.2%	8.7%	10.1%
Male	2008-09	99.5%	51.5%	74.5%	77.9%	38%	39.9%	8.2%	13.9%
Male	2009-10	99.5%	52.5%	75.8%	83.2%	33.5%	49.7%	9.2%	7.6%
Black or African American	2008-09	94.7%	16.4%	33.3%	36.1%	5.6%	30.6%	11.1%	52.8%
Black or African American	2009-10	100%	16.4%	32.7%	38.9%	2.8%	36.1%	19.4%	41.7%
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2008-09	<10	72.3%	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander	2009-10	<10	72.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2008-09	<10	32.4%	70%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Hispanic or Latino	2009-10	<10	33.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	99.7%	56.5%	80.8%	81.2%	33.6%	47.5%	7.5%	11.3%
White	2009-10	99.4%	57.9%	80.6%	86.5%	34.1%	52.4%	7.9%	5.6%
Multiracial	2008-09	<10	47.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Multiracial	2009-10	<10	44%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Advanced (Level 1)	% Proficient (Level 2)	% Partially Proficient (Level 3)	% Not Proficient (Level 4)
Students with Disabilities	2008-09	100%	10.2%	17.4%	15%	5%	10%	5%	80%
Students with Disabilities	2009-10	100%	11.2%	35.7%	43.8%	3.1%	40.6%	15.6%	40.6%
Economically Disadvantage	1	100%	28.9%	25.5%	16.7%	5.6%	11.1%	5.6%	77.8%
Economically Disadvantage	ď	100%	30.4%	37.3%	36%	4%	32%	24%	40%

### **MI-Access**

# **Functional Independence**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	nage Arts			•				

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	<10	87.7%	100%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	89.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	87.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	89.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	91%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	93.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically		<10	85.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Disadvantaged  Mathematics								
				1		1		
Mathematics  Grade: 11	2008-09	<10	70.3%	81.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Grade: 11 All Students		<10 <10	70.3% 71.8%	81.8% <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10
Mathematics Grade: 11 All Students All Students	2008-09							
Mathematics  Grade: 11  All Students All Students Male	2008-09 2009-10	<10	71.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Mathematics Grade: 11 All Students All Students Male Male	2008-09 2009-10 2008-09	<10 <10	71.8% 74.4%	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10
Mathematics  Grade: 11  All Students  All Students  Male  Male  White	2008-09 2009-10 2008-09 2009-10	<10 <10 <10	71.8% 74.4% 75.8%	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	<10	62.9%	54.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	70.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	65.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	73%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2008-09	<10	71.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	78.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Economically Disadvantaged		<10	60.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

# **Supported Independence**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	age Arts							
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	76.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	78.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	74.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Mathematics								
Grade: 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	83.2%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	86%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	83.1%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Science Grade: 11								
Orace. 11								
All Students	2009-10	<10	77.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2009-10	<10	78.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	77.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

## **Participation**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
English Langu	age Arts							

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	<10	63.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	68.9%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	61.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	68.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African	2008-09	<10	66.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
American								
American White Mathematics	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White Mathematics	2009-10	<10	70.7%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White  Mathematics  Grade: 11								
White  Mathematics  Grade: 11  All Students	2008-09	<10	50.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White Mathematics Grade: 11 All Students All Students	2008-09 2009-10	<10 <10	50.5% 55.2%	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10	<10 <10
White Mathematics Grade: 11 All Students All Students Female	2008-09 2009-10 2009-10	<10 <10 <10	50.5% 55.2% 49.7%	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10	<10 <10 <10

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Surpassed (Level 1)	% Attained (Level 2)	% Emerging (Level 3)
Grade: 11								
All Students	2008-09	<10	46.6%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
All Students	2009-10	<10	46.3%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Female	2009-10	<10	45.5%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Male	2008-09	<10	51.4%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
Black or African American	2008-09	<10	48.8%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10
White	2009-10	<10	46%	<10	<10	<10	<10	<10

#### **MEAP-Access**

Student Group	School Year	% Students Tested	State % Students Proficient	District % Students Proficient	School % Students Proficient	% Level 1	% Level 2	% Level 3
No records to	display.							

# 2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Detail Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Grosse Pointe South High School

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
All Students		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.1%	93.9%
Mathematics	98.9%	93.7%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	97.5%
Mathematics	99.8%	97.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	99%	98.2%
Mathematics	99%	95.5%
Black or African American		
State		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	97.7%	88.4%
Mathematics	97.4%	88%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.6%	91.7%
Mathematics	99.6%	91.3%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	93.3%
Mathematics	100%	76.7%
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.2%	93.2%
Mathematics	99%	92.4%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander		
State		

	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	99.5%	96.8%
Mathematics	99.6%	97.5%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	100%	100%
Mathematics	100%	98.9%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
State		
State	00.204	01.00
English Language Arts / Reading	99.3% 98.9%	91.3% 92.4%
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics	99.3% 98.9%	91.3% 92.4%
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics		
English Language Arts / Reading Mathematics  District	98.9%	92.4%
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics  District  English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	92.4%
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics  District  English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics	98.9%	92.4%

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
White		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.4%	95.3%
Mathematics	99.3%	95.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	99.8%	98.3%
Mathematics	99.8%	98.1%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	98.8%	98.5%
Mathematics	98.8%	97%
Multiracial		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.4%	93.5%
Mathematics	102.3%	94.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
Mathematics	<30	<30
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	<30	<30
Mathematics	<30	<30
Limited English Proficient		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	123.6%	86.9%
Mathematics	126.3%	92.3%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	94.1%	100%
Mathematics	97.1%	96.7%
Students with Disabilities		
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	73.1%
Mathematics	102.2%	76.5%
District		

Subject	% Tested (Goal 95%)	% Proficient for AYP*
English Language Arts / Reading	98.9%	86.2%
Mathematics	98.9%	85%
School		
English Language Arts / Reading	97.2%	94.1%
Mathematics	97.2%	73.5%
State		
English Language Arts / Reading	102.6%	90.6%
Mathematics	102.5%	91.1%
District		
English Language Arts / Reading	110.8%	93.8%
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics	110.8% 110.8%	93.8% 93.5%
English Language Arts / Reading		
English Language Arts / Reading  Mathematics		

Note: 467 Recently arrived LEP students took part in the State's ELPA instead of the MEAP/MME/MI-Access. \* AYP Targets (Annual Measurable Objectives)

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
All Students		
State		
	75.39%	
District		
	>95%	
School		
	>95%	
Black or African American		
State		
	56.59%	
District		
	85.87%	
School		
	90.91%	

Gra	duation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)		
American Indian or Alaska Native			
State			
	65%		
District			
	<10		
School			
	<10		
Asian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander			
State			
	84.47%		
District			
	>95%		
School			
	<10		

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
Hispanic or Latino		
State		
	59.94%	
District		
	91.67%	
School		
	<10	
White		
State		
	81.85%	
District		
	>95%	
School		
	>95%	

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)					
Multiracial						
State						
	71.12%					
District						
	<10					
School						
	<10					
Limited English Proficient						
State						
	65.51%					
District						
	<10					
School						
	<10					

	Graduation Rate (High Schools only) (Goal 80%)	
Students with Disabilities		
State		
	57.61%	
District		
	82.35%	
School		
	93.33%	
Economically Disadvantaged		
State		
	59.8%	
District		
	82%	
School		
	76.19%	

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)						
All Students	Il Students						
State							
	94.7%						
District							
	96.4%						
School							
	96.4%						
Black or African American							
State							
	91%						
District							
	95.3%						
School							
	94.1%						

Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)
merican Indian or Alaska Native
tate
93.7%
istrict
94.8%
sian, Native Hawaiian, or Pacific Islander
tate
96.5%
istrict
96.6%
chool
97.7%
ispanic or Latino

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.1%	
District		
	96.3%	
School		
	96.4%	
White		
State		
	95.7%	
District		
	96.6%	
School		
	96.6%	
Multiracial		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)	
State		
	94.8%	
District		
	95.9%	
School		
	97.7%	
Limited English Proficient		
State		
	94.6%	
District		
	95.7%	
School		
	86.9%	
Students with Disabilities		

	Attendance Rate (Goal 90%)					
State						
	93.5%					
District						
	95.5%					
School						
	94.8%					
Economically Disadvantaged						
State						
	94.8%					
District						
	96.4%					
School						
* All J-4- ll	96.6%					

<sup>\*</sup> All data based on students enrolled for a full academic year.

\*\* More information regarding AYP can be found at the following link:

http://www.michigan.gov/mde/0,1607,7-140-22709 22875---,00.html

# **Michigan Annual AYP Objectives**

### Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Reading/ELA

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		38%			31%		42%
2002-03		38%			31%		42%
2003-04		38%			31%		42%
2004-05		48%			43%		52%
2005-06	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2006-07	50%	48%	46%	45%	43%	41%	52%
2007-08	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2008-09	60%	59%	57%	56%	54%	53%	61%
2009-10	70%	69%	68%	67%	66%	65%	71%
2010-11	78%	77%	76%	75%	74%	73%	79%
2011-12	86%	85%	84%	83%	82%	82%	86%
2012-13	93%	92%	92%	91%	91%	91%	93%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

### **Michigan Annual AYP Objectives for Mathematics**

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2001-02		47%				31%	33%
2002-03		47%				31%	33%
2003-04		47%				31%	33%

School Year	Grade 3	Grade 4	Grade 5	Grade 6	Grade 7	Grade 8	Grade 11
2004-05		56%				43%	44%
2005-06	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2006-07	59%	56%	53%	50%	46%	43%	44%
2007-08	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2008-09	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2009-10	67%	65%	62%	60%	57%	54%	55%
2010-11	75%	74%	71%	70%	67%	66%	67%
2011-12	83%	82%	81%	80%	78%	77%	78%
2012-13	91%	91%	90%	90%	89%	89%	89%
2013-14	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

# 2009-10 School-Level Accountability (AYP) Status Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Grosse Pointe South High School

#### **School AYP Status**

Title 1 Status	AYP ELA/Reading Status	AYP Mathematics Status	AYP Overall Status	Education Yes Report Card Grade	School Improvement Status	Years in Improvement
No	Met	Met	Met	A	N/A	0

# December, 2009 School-Level Teacher Quality Reporting for Wayne RESA, Grosse Pointe Public Schools, Grosse Pointe South High School

	Other	B.A.	M.A.	Ph.D
Professional	0	27	78	1
Qualifications of All				
Public Elementary and				
Secondary School				
Teachers in the School				

Professional Qualifications are defined by the State and may include information such as the degrees of public school teachers (e.g., percentage of teachers with Bachelors Degrees or Masters Degrees) or the percentage of fully certified teachers

Percentage of Public Elementary and Secondary School Teachers in the School with Emergency Certification	0.9%
	School Aggregate
Percentage of Core Academic Subject Elementary and Secondary School Classes not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%

# Michigan Report Card for the National Assessment of Educational Progress

NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Mathematics Results

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	22	43	30	5
Male	50		41	30	7
Female	50	22	45	29	4
National Lunch					
Program Eligility	43	36	47	16	1
Eligible	56	11	40	40	9
Not Eligible	‡	<u></u>	÷	<u>;</u>	‡ ‡
Info not available		•	•		
Race Ethnicity	71	1.4	42	27	
White Black	71 20	14 52	43 39	37	0
Hispanic	5		51	19	1
Asian Amer/Pacif Isl	3	13	32	36	19
American Indian	1	‡	‡	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Unclassified	1	÷ ÷	÷ ÷	† †	÷ ÷
Student classified as					
having a disability	12	42	39	17	2
SD	88	19	44	31	6
Not SD	00	17	77	31	O
Student is an English					
Language Learner			40	11	1
	97	21	43	31	5
Not ELL					

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. Note: Observed differences are not necessarily statistically significant. Detail may not sum to total because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

#### **NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Mathematics Results**

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	32	37	24	7
Male	51	31	37	24	8
Female	49	33	38	24	5
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible	38 62	50 21	37 38	12 31	1 10
Not Eligible Info not available	† ‡	<b>‡</b>	<b>‡</b>	<b>+</b>	* <del>*</del>
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1 ‡	23 68 38 11 ‡	40 27 45 30 ‡	29 4 15 31 ‡	8 1 2 28 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	75 27	22 39	2 27	1 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	58 32	32 37	10 24	0 7

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education. Institute for Education Sciences. National Center for Education Statistics. National Assessment Program (NAEP) 2009 Mathematics Achievement.

#### **NAEP 2009 Grade 4 Reading Results**

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	36	34	23	6
Male	50	39	35	21	5
Female	50	32	34	26	8
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	43 57 #	52 24 ‡	33 36 ‡	13 31 ‡	2 10 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	71 19 5 3 1	28 65 49 21 ‡	36 26 34 37 ‡	28 7 15 25 ‡	8 1 2 17 ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	10 90	66 32	24 36	8 25	3 7
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	3 97	65 35	26 35	9 24	1 7

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

#### **NAEP 2009 Grade 8 Reading Results**

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

<b>Reporting Group</b>	<b>Percent of Students</b>	Percent below Basic	Percent Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
All Students	100	28	41	28	3
Male Female	51 49	33 23	42 41	23 32	2 4
National Lunch Program Eligility Eligible Not Eligible Info not available	37 62 ‡	44 18 ‡	41 42 ‡	14 36 ‡	1 4 ‡
Race Ethnicity White Black Hispanic Asian Amer/Pacif Isl American Indian Unclassified	74 18 4 2 1	21 54 40 ‡ ‡ ‡	32 37 34 ‡ ‡	32 9 24 ‡ ‡	3 # 2 ‡ ‡ ‡
Student classified as having a disability SD Not SD	9 91	73 23	22 43	4 30	# 3
Student is an English Language Learner ELL Not ELL	2 98	60 27	33 42	8 28	# 3

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero

<sup>‡</sup> Reporting Standards not met. NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Reading Assessment.

Grade	Subject	Participation Rate for Students with Disabilities	Standard Error	Participation Rate for IEP Students	Standard Error	Participation Rate for Limited English Proficient Students	Standard Error
4	Math Reading	82.08 72.05		81.98 72.01			3.063 3.53
8	Math Reading	76.39 70.72		76.21 70.46			4.12 4.505